App. E. 12-1

1926.87 C.F. File No. 266. 24

Previous References

G.F. 63. 24. 168, 10+11.
G.F. 63. 24. 12+13.

(G.F.)

Serial Nos. 12

Later References

G.F. 266. 24. 3 1/1
G.F. 50-33-1, 3+4
G.F. 50-35(1-17)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. Three

P. 15

Part B for September 1927.

SUBJECT

Requests that the arrangement of attaching a Civil Courts Audit to the Chief Court tentatively sanctioned for two years may be made permanent and proposals that a deputation or duty allowance of Rs 60/- may be attached to the Civil Courts Audit appointment.

Three 2. To The Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore
The Comptroller to Govt
No FC 2013-4 dt 13. 9. 27

Sanctions the Chief Court's recommendation with the observation that no officer of a lower status than that of a Superintendent should be posted to Chief Court.

DP

General and Revenue Secretariat.

G.R. File No. 216 of 192 .

Serial No.

1 C. No. 1490/26-27 / 21. 6. 27 from the Registrar Chief Court of Mysore requesting that the arrangement of attaching a Civil Court auditor to the Ch. Court sanctioned for 2 years may be made permanent & proposing that a deputation or duty allowance of Rs 50/- may be attached to the Civil Court auditor appt.

Points for Orders are:-

(1) Making the appointment of the Civil Court auditor permanent.

2) attaching to the appointment a duty or deputation allowance of Rs 50 p.m.

Point 1. The present arrangement was sanctioned from 1. 7. 25 and

14 (G.O. No. 4462-3/66-63-26-8) this, the currency thereof was tentatively fixed at 2 years. Vide Ch. Court's letter dt 14. 4. 25. The provision for the pay of the appt is being made in the Judicial Budget.

The Chief Court considers that the arrangement has proved satisfactory & that it may be made permanent.

In accepting the arrangement at the

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 2298—GPB—10,000—4-5-27

Serial No.

beginning, the Chief Court opined that the Office selected for the Civil Court auditor's Office should continue to be borne on the Comptl Office Estt or should become permanently an Office of the Judicial Dept, as prospects in the latter dept are comparatively less, and the Auditor should keep himself in touch with the Central Audit Office (Civil Letter No R.O.C. 1092 dy 7-3-2 in G.F 63-24-11).

3 When this proposal was referred to the Comptl, he in his No. 1101 no 1169 dy 24. 3.25 doubted the practicability of the arrangement and suggested its being tried for 2 years to begin with.

4 The papers may now be referred to the Comptl for opinion if the measure may be made permanent, with reference to the experience gained in these 2 years.

Point II Duty Allowance.

5 This is for orders. It may however be mentioned that Supdts or Record Office Comptls Office deputed for work outside, as University (50 A/L) Jail Work (Rs 50) etc get some allowance. some additions to their pay. The Chief Court is prepared

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 2293—GPB—10,000—4-5-27

Serial No.

to make his expenditure.

Subs for Ord no.

8K 29/6.

6 The opinion of the comptroller on both the proposals of the Chief Court may be invited

Ba 1/7.

109 2/7

7 (Sd) Forwarded to the Comptroller with Compliments for his opinion, with reference to H. Sabjan's. chetty's No note of 14-8-25. Flag C.

His views as regards the efficiency of the audit work and the adoption of measures for speeding it so that each. Courts may be visited by the auditor at least once in two years are also invited.

1 2.7.27

14-0-Rv 17 & 14-7-27

CONTROLLER'S OFFICE 4 JUL 1927 BANGALORE

4.0.40 E.S.

Returned with Compliments.

8 I have no information about the work now done by the Civil Courts Audits - As per arrangement Sanctioned by Govt in 1925 it is done under the Supervision of the Chief Court. ~~and~~ who ~~seem~~ State that they are Satisfied with the present Arrangement.

9 The present arrangement

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 2293—GPB—10,000—4-5-27

General and Revenue Secretariat. G.F. File No. 266 of 1926

Serial No.

under which a Superintendent of the Comptroller's office is lent to the Chief Court for doing this work may I think be Extended for another Two Years and the question of making it permanent Considered At the end of the period.

6) As regards the grant of a local allowance of Rs 50/- to the Civil Courts Auditor the amount proposed seems to be rather high as compared with the pay of the official who will be deputed for doing such work It may perhaps be fixed at Rs 25/- if Govt is inclined to Consider that the grant of any allowance is necessary.

~~Personally I do not see the need of the Civil Courts~~

7L sent 15/5/27

11) The chief comptroller may, S. Shanmuga, if consulted, in the views of the Comptt 2-7-27

7d sent 15-7-27

12) M. Venkatasubbayya has been in receipt of pay

Contn. sheet.

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. File No. 366 of 1927.

Serial No.

Rs 150/- for next two years. This is the recommendation of the 2nd party superintendent.

13 The compliments proposal for extending the audit's appointment for another 2 years and for granting a duty allowance of Rs 25/- may be approved. This will afford an encouragement to the audit 2nd members work.

4
15/7/27.

14 I think the recommendation of the Chief Court may be sanctioned i.e. 1) The arrangement may be made permanent
2) a duty allce of Rs 50 may be attached to the appt.
No Officer of lower status than a Supt should be deputed for this work.

Mahy
17.7.27

15 Hon'ble the Subha Jain member.

16

1st member

4
18.7.27
SVV.

17
20/7/27

18 Sept to Govt.

19 20/7
SVV.

20 Draft G.O on the lines is submitted
P.T.O.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
16 JULY 1927
No. 371

876/1917

6286/1917

1015/1917

693/27. 1641.

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | G. File No. 266 of 1926.

Serial No.

21

This goes without saying to

A | No orders have been passed on the Court's recommendation to Confirm Mr. S.R. Venkataubbia. Perhaps it is a matter entirely between His Complainant & the Chief Court.

B | 22 May perhaps be submitted to Dewan Sahib for perusal through the Law Secy, as to whether in view of the Court's attorney for the 1st time.

Now to

Sd/-
22/7.

15/8/27

Fl. Secy?
for orders on A. and B
14.8
31.8.27

23 But what is the amount between the Govt.? Shd this not be settled. It may be sent for the lump sum paid by Rajah for Reforms if no other sum is available.

24

25 To Law Dept with Compliments. 4 (1.8.27) WR

Sd/- 2.8

Sd/- 3.8

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0824—GPB—60,000—24-5-

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 192 .

Serial No.

From

26 It seems doubtful whether the Govt for Reforms can admit special extra items of expenditure. No thing can however be ascertained

8/ 5/8/27 Govt 5/8

27 yes 6/8

CE 6/8

Govt with a draft

8/ 6/8 Govt 6/8

Govt 28/8/27

To the Asst Chief Court
Dep 872 of 10.8.27
Requests to point out the sum from which the extra cost may be met during the Current year.

From

The Regr Chief Court. Letter no Roc (48) 164/27 Stating that it is too early to suggest any reappropriation as the posts made are not economic, and it is difficult to suggest reappropriation ~~of the proposed~~ from the lumpsum provision of Rs 90000/- for Judicial Reforms' as they are still under the consideration of Govt.

Serial No.

30 Submitted with regard to paras 23 to 25 above.

Under the circumstances, an additional grant may have to be asked for, or (it may be stated in the G.O sanctioning the allowance that the source for meeting the cost will be indicated later on in the year by the chief court to the Comptroller.

27/8 31 Submitted for perusal of the Registrars letter re the points raised by the Fund Secy in paras 23 & 24 supra.

(Secy. Revn) 29/8

Secy is ill

32 Reply to paras 23 & 24 Fund Secy. 2/9

W.P.K. 31/8/27

33 Paras 23 & 24 above.

Kindly see Sir Ch. Comm's letter no. G.A. May a direction to say that the cost during the current year will be met by reappropriation from the Normal Grants, be added in the G.O? The Ch. Comm. have not said that a reappropriation is not possible at all. Submit for orders. 3/9

(Secy) 1/9

5/9

35 The cost may be met by reappropriation out of the lump sum provision made for leave & acting allowances - Rs 15000/- Thus has been

3380

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0324—GPB—80,000—24-5-27

WD 01223—GPB—37,500—1-9-26

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Received on
9 SEP. 1927
Reg. No. 1035

File No

of 192 .

Serial No.

Explained
h
8/9

There was no delay either with me or in the Fd. Branch. HHR

Typed copy
shd be put up
in the file before
submission & it
shd be flagged.
D for A.

Typed and
Copy
HHR
8/9

inordinate delay in the issue of orders in this case.
The a/s. for with kindly note is

56 Submitted to Dewan for perusal of the draft x order before issue.
Vide paras 14 & 17. Supra.

37 The grant of a dfs. allowance to the civil court auditor as recommended by the chief court is approved by the Secretary & Finl. member of Council.

h
6.9.27.

38
Draft dfs. put up for submission to H Dewan. Submitted.

HK
8/9.

H.V.
9/9
27

Dewan)

read and dated
9/9

3483
12/9

10-9-27
Dewan back
h
11/9

2) No 2013-16 dt 13.9.27 to the Registrar Chief Court, Complete Secretariat file Ch. Court's recommendation with the proposal that no appeal of a lower status than a Superintendent should be preferred to Chief Court

HHR

B
14/9

N

517

722
p827

Telgm:
"JUSTICE."

CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE,
Public Office Buildings, Cubbon Park,

Bangalore 16th August *192* 7.

R. O. C. No. 148
~~Dis.~~ 26-27

From

A. Sreenivasaragavachari, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore.

To

B. NAGAPPA, Esq., Bar-at-law.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Law Department,
BANGALORE.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. P. 872/Cts. dated the 10th August 1927, requesting to point out the source from which the extra cost of Rs. 50/- on account of the duty allowance recommended to be paid to the Civil Court Auditor, may be met during the current year.

In reply I am to state that barely a month has passed during the current official year and it is therefore too early to estimate what amount will be required for expenditure under the several budget heads and what savings ~~touring~~ are likely to accrue. The grants have been made very sparingly and are not excessive and it is therefore difficult to point out sources of reappropriation at this stage.

As regards the query whether the cost can be met from the lump sum provision of Rs. 90,000/- for reforms, I am to state that this sum includes also the amount required for the reorganisation of the ministerial and process establishments and this and other questions are under consideration by Government. I am to add

that

that at this stage it is difficult to suggest re-appropriatio
from the lump sum provision or other grants of the Department

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

KVN/-

For R E G I S T R A R.

APP. F. VI. Gl.]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

Date of issue 10/8/27

Signed by .....

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. 872

To

The Regr ...

Sir

With reference to your letter
no C 1480/26.27 dt 21-6-27
I am directed to request that the arrangement
of posting an officer of the Comptroller's
office to audit the accounts of the Civil
Courts may be made permanent and that
the officer so deputed may be given
the usual allowances of Rs 50/- p.m. I
am
directed to request you to kindly
intimate whether the service performed
by the Clerk on account may be treated
during the current year
and whether it can be met from

over

Telgm:
"JUSTICE."

CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE,
Public Office Buildings, Cubbon Pa

Bangalore...21st...June.....192

R. O. C. No. 1480
Dis. 26-27

From

A. Sreenivasaragavachari, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore.

To

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Financial Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
B A N G A L O R E.

Sir,

I am directed to advert to Government Order No, Fl.150/ G.F. ~~150~~-24-13 dated the 10th of July 1925 approving the Comptroller's proposal to post Mr. S. R. Venkata Subbaiya as Civil Courts Auditor, and to the Comptroller's unofficial No. 1169 E.S. dated 24-3-25 in reply to the Financial Secretary's note dated the 16th March 1925, proposing that a Superintendent of his office be deputed to the Chief Court temporarily for two years, and to state that Mr. Venkata Subbaiya having reported for duty in the Chief Court on the forenoon of 25th July 1925, the term of two years for which he was deputed will shortly expire. The question of making the present arrangement permanent or not has now to be considered.

2. I am to state that during the course of two years the Auditor has audited the accounts of 20 courts including the Chief Court, which gives on an average about one court per month, a period which is not excessive having regard to the details to be inspected. The Chief Court is of opinion that the present system has worked satisfactorily and I am to request that Government may be pleased to make the system permanent and allow the

present

present Auditor Mr. Venkata Subbaiya to continue to work as such until his reversion to the Comptroller's Office establishment is found necessary.

3. I am also to state that the present Auditor Mr. Venkatasubbiah has made a representation for the fixing of a separate scale for the Civil Courts Auditor, viz., Rs. 100-10-1[illegible]-15-300.. The idea, as already expressed in my letter R.O.C. No. 1092 of 24-25 dated the 9th March 1925, was that the Auditor shou[illegible] be a lent officer from the Comptroller's Office who can be expected to be always in touch with the Accounts Department instea of an official permanently forming part of the Judicial Department and, therefore, the pay of the Auditor should be the pay drawn by the particular officer selected by the Comptroller for being deputed to the Chief Court. It may not therefore be possible to fix a separate scale as pay for the office of the Civil Courts Auditor. As the work of the Civil Courts Auditor, however, is more taxing than that of Superintendent's working in the Comptroller's Office, owing to the Civil Courts Auditor being required to travel and be away from head-quarters for considerable lengths of time, and also to attract the Superintendents of the Comptroller's Office taking up this work, I am to suggest that Government may be pleased to attach a deputation or duty allowance of Rs. 50/- to the Civil Courts Auditor's appointment, instead of a separate scale as proposed by the Auditor.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

KVN/-

For Registrar.

Proceedings of the Government of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read:-

G.O.No.Fl.150/G.F.63-24-13 dated 10-7-25 sanctioning the Comptroller's proposal to post Mr.S.R.Venkatasubbia, Superintendent of his Office to the Chief Court temporarily for a period of 2 years for ~~conducting~~ auditing the accounts of the Civil Courts under the directions of the Chief Court in accordance with the sanctioned procedure in the G.O.No. H 4962-3/G.F.63-24-5 dated 4-3-25.

2. Letter No.1480/26-27 dated 21-6-27 from the Registrar, Chief Court, communicating the opinion of the Court that as the arrangement has worked satisfactorily it may be made permanent, that Mr.S.R.Venkatasubbia may be permitted to continue till his reversion to the Comptroller's Office is considered necessary and that a duty allowance of Rs 50 per mensem may be attached to the post of the Auditor having regard to the ~~good~~ heavy deal of itineration and incidental difficulties involved in the work.

3. U.O.Note No.40/Es dated 12-7-27 from the Comptroller on the subject.

ORDER NO:Fl H2034/G.F.26.24.2

Dated, Bangalore, the 13.9.27

The recommendations of the Chief Court are sanctioned.

2. No officer of lower status than a Superintendent should be deputed by the Comptroller for this work of auditing the

accounts of Civil Courts.

3. The extra cost will be met by re-appropriation out of the lumpsum provision made for leave and acting allowances under 'Courts of Law'.

Financial Secretary.

To

The Registrar, Chief Court.

" Comptroller to Govt.

Copy to Protection Section. Branch